

**Meeting Summary**  
**Colorado Smelter Community Advisory Group**  
**August 11, 2015**

On August 11, 2015, the Colorado Smelter Community Advisory Group (CAG) met at the Steelworkers Center of the West. The key topics covered during the meeting included:

- Health Education: Information about the program used in Leadville and a presentation about what is planned for Pueblo;
- Sampling: An update on the pilot sampling project and plans for future sampling;
- Updates by the Superfund Neighborhood Vitalization workgroup and the Public Involvement workgroup;
- Discussion of the Technical Assistance Services for Communities (TASC) Plan;
- Public Comments by Rose Manguso and Demetri Barton; and
- Topics for the September 8 CAG meeting.

This summary is not a detailed transcript of the meeting, but rather includes highlights of the discussions and decisions that occurred. It is a summary of the facilitator’s notes and is not intended to state formal EPA policy. Action items in this summary are marked **in bold** and then tracked in the Facilitator’s Tracking Sheet attached to the end of this document. Below please find a list of key documents discussed during the meeting.

Key links and documents discussed during the meeting:

Attachment A	CAG Agenda for August 11, 2015 meeting (attached to this document)
Attachment B	Attendance List for August 11, 2015 meeting (attached)
Pueblo City-County Health Department Links	Colorado Smelter Health pamphlet in English and Spanish: <a href="http://county.pueblo.org/sites/default/files/documents/Lead_pamphlet_jul2014.pdf">http://county.pueblo.org/sites/default/files/documents/Lead_pamphlet_jul2014.pdf</a>  Colorado Smelter: <a href="#">Dirt Alert Video by the PCCHD</a> .
Vitalization Workgroup Links	Minutes from the August 5 Workgroup meeting: <a href="#">Vitalization Workgroup August 5</a>
TASC document	The draft TASC project approach can be found here: <a href="#">TASC Project Approach as of 6/9/15</a> . Please send comments to Jasmin (guerra.jasmin@epa.gov) and Kelly Gehlhoff (kellyphgs@gmail.com) by August 25.
Attachment C	Facilitator’s Tracking Document as of August 21, 2015
Important Colorado Smelter Links	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• EPA’s Colorado Smelter website: <a href="http://www2.epa.gov/region8/colorado-smelter">http://www2.epa.gov/region8/colorado-smelter</a></li> <li>• Colorado Smelter Health pamphlet in English and Spanish: <a href="http://county.pueblo.org/sites/default/files/documents/Lead_pamphlet_jul2014.pdf">http://county.pueblo.org/sites/default/files/documents/Lead_pamphlet_jul2014.pdf</a></li> <li>• Colorado Smelter: <a href="#">Dirt Alert Video by the PCCHD</a>.</li> <li>• Residential Soil Sampling Demo: <a href="http://youtu.be/8Zct3h9ehhQ">http://youtu.be/8Zct3h9ehhQ</a></li> <li>• Incremental Residential Soil Sampling Demo: <a href="http://youtu.be/PFbN9nEfrv4">http://youtu.be/PFbN9nEfrv4</a></li> </ul>

- Top Ten Questions to Ask When Buying a Superfund Site <http://www2.epa.gov/enforcement/report-top-10-questions-ask-when-buying-superfund-site>
- Key information to know about gardening near the Colorado Smelter site: <http://www2.epa.gov/region8/colorado-smelter-gardening-and-homegrown-vegetables>
- Information about being exposed to lead on EPA's website: <http://www2.epa.gov/lead>

## HEALTH EDUCATION

### Overview of the Lake County Community Health Program (LCCHP)

*Alissa Schultz, Colorado Department of Public Health and the Environment (CDPHE)*

Scott Fitzgerald of Congressman Tipton's Office requested the CDPHE provide a briefing to the Pueblo Smelter CAG on the LCCHP as a possible model for activities around the Colorado Smelter site. The LCCHP was established in Leadville near the California Gulch site in 1994. The program was initially called the "KIDS FIRST" program and it included a blood lead monitoring program, community outreach and education for all sources of lead in the community. The program focused on children 6 and younger and pregnant women. When beginning to plan for the Colorado Smelter area, the CDPHE and the Pueblo City-County Health Department went to Leadville and meet with the leaders of the program to develop a solid understanding of their tools and approach.

Detailed fact sheets about the Leadville program can be found at:

<http://www2.epa.gov/sites/production/files/documents/LCCHP2FactsheetMarch09.pdf> and <http://www2.epa.gov/sites/production/files/documents/OU9LeadAwarenessBloodLeadTestingBrochure.pdf>.

### Presentation of the Planned Health Education Approach for the Colorado Smelter Program

*Chad Wolgram, Pueblo City-County Health Department (PCCHD)*

Chad reported that Pueblo City-County Health Department has developed a blood monitoring, public education and outreach for the Colorado Smelter area. This plan is currently in draft form and has been sent to EPA for review and approval. Some of the activities have been started and others are yet to come, including:

- Developing a YouTube available Colorado Smelter [Dirt Alert Video by the PCCHD](#) (5 minutes);
- Producing a PCCHD Pamphlet in English and Spanish: [http://county.pueblo.org/sites/default/files/documents/Lead\\_pamphlet\\_jul2014.pdf](http://county.pueblo.org/sites/default/files/documents/Lead_pamphlet_jul2014.pdf)
- Participating in events at Benedict Park to educate the community about ways to avoid/reduce exposure to lead and test toys/dishes/jewelry/etc. for lead;
- Providing educational materials for Nights Out with the Police Department;
- Developing an educational program for medical providers so that they can properly encourage and conduct lead testing and treat exposure concerns;
- Buying portable machines that can test for lead, including testing for lead paint on walls without damaging the walls, checking for lead in household products and children's toys, and even conducting a screening for lead levels in the blood. Because these machines are all portable, it will be more convenient for community members.

A CAG member asked whether the PCCHD will track progress in the neighborhood to show that levels of lead are decreasing in the population. **Action Item: Chad responded that the PCCHD will have a database for tracking both the general trends in the community and on a case-by-case basis.** The general trends database will be available to the public.

A community member noted that some of these outreach processes have not been inclusive of all in the community. He suggests the various agencies consider additional ways to reach out to the full community through postcards, churches, and other mechanisms. **Action Item: The Community Involvement workgroup agreed to work with EPA and the PCCHD on possible methods of improvement.**

## **SAMPLING**

### **Update on the Demonstration of Method Applicability (DMA)**

*Sabrina Forrest, EPA*

Sabrina reported that she had hoped to share the results of the pilot sampling of 12 houses at this meeting, but that the data review process has taken longer than anticipated to complete. **Action Item: EPA plans to send letters to the 12 homeowners with their specific results in the next couple weeks and then share the general sampling results at the next CAG meeting on September 8.**

### **Update on Finalizing the Sampling Plan**

*Sabrina Forrest, EPA*

Sabrina stated that EPA would also share the draft Quality Assurance Plan (QAP) for overall sampling with the CAG workgroup prior to the September 8 CAG meeting. **Action Item: She noted that she would immediately share with the CAG workgroup the QAP for the pilot sampling effort so that the group will be prepared for the format of the final QAP. This document was shared on August 12. She concluded by noting that the final QAP will be done and approved by the third week in September.** This document will be publicly available at that time.

A CAG member noted that it was possible for the EPA contractors to damage homeowner's sprinkler systems during the sampling process, but that EPA had other contractors available to conduct immediate repairs. She asked whether EPA would have these repair contractors available next spr if sprinkler systems are damaged during the winter when the water is off. **Action Item: Sabrina agreed to consider this issue and report back to the group at the next CAG meeting.**

A CAG member asked if the CAG could use the Technical Assistance Services for Communities (TASC) contract to make the sampling document understandable to the public. Sabrina responded that the Workgroup would serve as the ears and eyes of the CAG on the development of the Sampling QAP, but that the TASC would be very useful for helping explain the ultimate sampling results and analysis.

A variety of CAG members noted the importance of getting sampling data back to homeowners and the community quickly.

### **Discussion regarding an EPA Sampling Demonstration Event**

*Chris Wardell, EPA*

Chris was not able to attend this meeting since he was working on the emergency on the Animas River. He is investigating the potential for doing a demonstration event as there are legal issues with inviting the

public onto private property, particularly when EPA doesn't know if the property is contaminated or not. The facilitator asked the CAG and members of the public whether doing a demonstration event was of great interest to the community or not. About one-third of the meeting participants raised their hands in support of the idea. **Action Item: It was left that EPA would consider this information and the amount of time/resources it might take to do such an effort in comparison to other priorities and report back to the group.** Community members also suggested that homeowners could invite nearby neighbors to watch the sampling in process on their property.

## WORKGROUP REPORTS

### Public Involvement Workgroup

*Kelly Gehlhoff*

Kelly reported that this Workgroup had shrunk considerably after their June event. It is now down to two people and needs new members. However, she and Nadine had discussed next steps and had several recommendations, including:

- The importance of transferable information and lessons learned from the Salt Creek site.
- The need to develop a better communication mechanism with the community. Perhaps identifying block leaders for the community to engage and inform a wider diversity of the community and solicit their input in an informal visioning process. Consider using CSU students to survey the community in preparation for the community visioning process.

The group plans to have another workgroup meeting soon. **Action item: Kelly will inform Kristi (facilitator) of the time and location so that this can be shared with the full CAG and mailing list.**

### Community Vitalization Workgroup

*Joe Kocman*

The minutes from the August 5<sup>th</sup> Vitalization Workgroup can be found here: [Vitalization Workgroup August 5](#). Joe highlighted a couple of issues for the CAG. Most importantly, they hope to have found a solution to the challenge of not being able to get FHA loans for purchasing houses in the Colorado Smelter area. The Workgroup is investigating whether it would be possible to guarantee funding through a state program. A state pool of money could guarantee funding to lenders. **Action Item: This would require strong support from local officials—an idea that County Commissioner Terry Hart and City Council Member John Cordova agreed to discuss with their colleagues immediately.** Both leaders also noted that they have had conversation with Housing and Urban Development (HUD) and EPA on this topic. EPA has repeatedly told the CAG that they have no authorities in lending. Terry and John have asked HUD authorities to return to the Pueblo area and discuss possible means for addressing this problem.

A member of the public commented on how the potential to use this Superfund effort as an urban renewal project, which could extend to curbs, gutters, reducing gangs, and helping the whole community. **Action Item: Terry and John agreed to share these ideas with their fellow board members also.**

The Workgroup again requested that EPA hold the Colorado Smelter charrette (visioning process) this fall instead of the January timeframe. Fran Costanzi of EPA responded that the Agency needs time to ensure the other state and federal agencies and the full diversity of the community are engaged and ready to participate in this process. **Action Item: Fran stated she would consider possible methods by which the Vitalization Workgroup can take some immediate steps that could flow directly into the charrette process.**

Joe noted that there is a similar charrette process happening in Canon City for the Lincoln Park/Cotter Mill Superfund site in Fremont County this month. EPA has extended an offer to two members of the CAG to attend the full session and all members of the CAG are invited to attend the evening session.

The workgroup would like more information about the demographics of the community and is hoping to do a full inventory. He is discussing the idea of using students at CSU with Chris Messer. He is also talking to Ada Clark about possible funding opportunities to support the workgroup's efforts.

The next Workgroup meeting is the first Wednesday in September (September 2) at 2:00 to 3:30 at the Eiler's bar. All are welcomed and encouraged to attend.

## **DISCUSSION OF THE TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE SERVICES FOR COMMUNITIES (TASC) PLAN**

*Jasmin Guerra, EPA*

Jasmin reported that EPA has drafted a scope of work for the TASC contract that the CAG requested. TASC provides the CAG and broader community with technical support through the services of Skeo Solutions (<http://www.skeo.com/>). **Action Item: CAG and community comments on the document should be sent to Jasmin (guerra.jasmin@epa.gov) and Kelly Gehlhoff (kellyphgs@gmail.com) by August 25.** The document can be found here: [TASC Project Approach as of 6/9/15](#). Jasmin reminded the group that all activities under the TASC must be directly related to the Superfund process. The Community Involvement Workgroup will work with Jasmin to finalize this document and report back to the CAG at the September meeting.

## **PUBLIC COMMENT**

*Rose Manguso*

Rose Manguso informed the CAG that the Superfund designation is greatly affecting her family and that of other senior citizens in the area. She is unable to sell her mother-in-law's house due to the "scarlett S" and thus, the inability of buyers to get loans in the area. Local leaders in the room agreed to assist Ms. Manguso, but acknowledged that this is a difficult problem. Even if local lenders wish to make loans, it is often the national lenders who are not willing to buy up the loans. Some CAG members stressed the need to get the sampling results back immediately so that some property owners could show that their land is clean. Others noted that this will not be possible until EPA determines its site-specific cleanup level of lead, which will not occur until EPA develops its cleanup plan. Steve Wharton of EPA stated that the Agency is looking for ways to expedite things, including: 1. Developing a process by which to prioritize the sampling of certain properties. So, for example, people with small children or those wishing to sell their houses soon might be first. 2. If EPA could provide letters for homeowners that indicate the range of lead contamination for a specific house (such as high, medium or low), lenders may be more willing to consider loaning to certain homeowners. **Action Item: EPA will report back to the group on the progress of these ideas.** A CAG member stated that EPA doesn't need site-specific data to set cleanup level. Steve disagreed and stated local information is needed to ensure the protection of community health. Another CAG member expressed frustration that EPA has encountered the lending problem at many sites and quoted an EPA document noting the problem at the California Gulch site in Leadville. He stated that the Agency needs additional policy on this topic and perhaps this community will develop solutions that can be used elsewhere.

## **PUBLIC COMMENT**

*Demitri Barton*

Demitri Barton expressed great concern for the community health in the area. He is concerned that industries have operated in this area unchecked for so many years, which has created a nightmare for residents who are surprised by what is in their backyards. He urges the Agency to move forward quickly and effectively to protect the health of local residents.

Another member of the public echoed many of the concerns she had heard about concern for public health. She requested that there also be consideration and information for senior citizens who have been raised in the area and may be suffering health effects as a result. Chad Wolgram of the Pueblo City-County Health Department offered to talk to her after the meeting and stated that he would raise the issue of the potential health effects of the site on senior citizens within his agency.

## **TOPICS FOR NEXT MEETING**

CAG members discussed the following topics for the next meeting, scheduled for August 8 from 5:30 to 7:30 at the Steelworks Center:

- Sharing of sampling results from the pilot project and discussion of next steps for sampling;
- The approach for the upcoming Agency for Toxic Substances Disease Registry (ATSDR) meetings regarding their Colorado Smelter report.
- Report back from Workgroups, including the idea of using a pot of state money to guarantee loans, the Canon City charrette process, and TASC contract.
- EPA will report on:
  - Whether they will conduct a Sampling Demonstration;
  - How turned off sprinklers will be repaired if necessary next Spring.

ATTACHMENT A  
**AGENDA**

=====  
**Community Advisory Group (CAG) for the Colorado Smelter**  
Tuesday, August 11, 2015, 5:30 p.m. to 7:30 p.m.  
Steelworks Museum  
215 Canal Street, Pueblo

- 5:30 p.m. Introductions, Agenda Review, and Logistics**  
*Kristi Parker Celico, Rocky Mountain Collaborative Solutions*
- 5:35 p.m. Health Education**
- Overview of the Lake County Community Health Program (LCCHP), *Alissa Schultz, Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment (CDPHE)*.
  - Presentation of the planned approach for the Colorado Smelter community by Pueblo Health Department, *Chad Wolgram, Pueblo City-County Health Department*
- 6:00 p.m. Sampling**  
*Sabrina Forest, EPA*
- Update on the Demonstration of Method Applicability (DMA), basically, the pilot sampling effort
  - Update on finalizing the Sampling Plan
  - Discussion regarding holding a EPA Sampling Demonstration event, *Chris Wardell, EPA*
- 6:10 p.m. Public Involvement Workgroup Report**  
*Kelly Gehlhoff*
- Report from Workgroup and discussion of next steps
- 6:20 p.m. Community Vitalization Workgroup Report**  
*Joe Kocman, Eilers Homeowners' Association*
- Report from Workgroup and discussion of next steps
- 6:30 p.m. Discussion of the Technical Assistance Services for Communities (TASC) Plan, Jasmin Guerra, EPA**

**6:45 p.m. Requested Public Comment (10 minutes each for comment and discussion)**

- Rose Manguso
- Demetri Barton

**7:15 p.m. Topics for August 11 CAG Meeting**

- Report backs from Workgroups
- ATSDR
- Sampling Update

**7:30 p.m. Adjourn**

**ATTACHMENT B**  
**Attendance for the August 11, 2015 CAG Meeting**

**CAG Members:**

Blazich, Bob	
Boguski, Terrie	
Castro, Roman	
Celico, Kristi	x
Coomes, Merrill	x
Cordova, John	x
Costanzi, Fran	x
Dorian, David	
Faulk, Libby	
Forrest, Sabrina	x
Fortner, Karen	x
Gehlhoff, Kelly	x
Goyal, Raj	
Guerra, Jasmin	x
Hart, Terry	x
Hatton, Kiera	
Hawkins, Tim	x
Johnson, Tori	
Kocman, Joe and Pam	x
Martinez, Aaron	x
Natterman, Jeannine	
O'Reilly, Maureen	
Partridge, Charlie	
Plutt, Charlotte	
Russell-Hedstrom, Krissy	
Schultz, Alissa	x
Singer, Steve	
Solis, Alicia	
Triste, Nadine	
Vander Valk, Harric	x
Vincent, Ross	x
Wardell, Christopher	
Webb, David	
Wenstrom, Michael	
Wharton, Steve	x
Williams, Ken	
Williamson, Julianne and James	
Witt, Robin	
Wolgram, Chad	x

Guests: Alva Adams, Lena Howland, Rick Romero, Chris Messer, Dave Talbert, Cathy Garcia, Doug Fitzgerald, Dwight Gardner, Rick DeCesaro, Tony Peralta, Bill Yershin, Julie Shue (Yershin), Denielle Carraher, Lucille Abeyta, Shanna Lewis, Pete Roper.

**Attachment C**  
**Facilitator's Tracking Document**  
**Outstanding items are shaded in GRAY**  
**New items are underlined.**  
**As of August 27, 2015**

Date of Input	CAG Input/Decision	Government Response	Task Completed
9-9-14	Some CAG members request that EPA drop dust sampling from the consent form for now. Others request that EPA create two separate consents, one for dust sampling and one for soil sampling.	EPA altered the consent form such that there are two separate consents, one for dust sampling and one for soil sampling.	October, 2014
10-14-14	Facilitator should reach out to Latino Chamber of Commerce to see about CAG speaking to group for education purposes and to inquire about Latino community members joining the CAG	Kristi and EPA reached out to Sandy Gutierrez of the Chamber. Ms. Gutierrez will identify a time for a Chamber Colorado Smelter presentation. EPA met with Ms. Gutierrez during the week of 2/2. During February, EPA had meetings with Catholic Charities, NeighborWorks of Pueblo, and the Latino Chamber of Commerce.	February 2015
10-14-14	Request by Mr. Perko to see PWT contract.	Mr. Perko and EPA attorney discussed the issue. Mr. Perko learned that it was possible to request the contract through a Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request. However, Mr. Perko did not make a formal request for production as he independently obtained adequate information	Completed 11/14

		about EPA insurance and liability policy to form an opinion as to whether or not landowners should sign the consent form.	
11-18-14	Facilitator work to add Police officer to CAG as an ex-officio member.	Officer Roman Castro attended the January CAG meeting and will serve as an exofficio member.	Completed 1/15
11-18-14	Pam Kocman and Terry Hart will speak to the City Council about City engagement in the CAG	John Cordova Sr. attended the January CAG meeting and will serve as a CAG member.	Completed 1/15
11-18-14	Terry Hart and Charlotte Plutt will reach out to Bessemer residents and School District 60 to encourage their engagement in the CAG.	Terry Hart has made some reached out to both and individuals have been invited to meetings. As of the 8/15, there has been a marked increased in residents participating.	Ongoing
11-18-14	Workgroups: The CAG requested 4 workgroups be set up to support their effort: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Economic impact;</li> <li>• Public involvement;</li> <li>• Health; and</li> <li>• Sampling.</li> </ul>	Due to limited staff time and resources, EPA cannot support four ongoing workgroups at this time. Instead, EPA encourages the community to proceed with workgroups on their own and EPA will support as able. At this time: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Health, Sampling, and Public Involvement issues will be addressed in the full group;</li> <li>• Charlie will continue to meet with a small sampling group to provide input on the Sampling Plan.</li> <li>• The community will lead the economic</li> </ul>	Completed 3/15

		<p>impact group with support from EPA's Redevelopment office, as needed.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Small groups may be formed to discuss some public involvement efforts.</li> <li>• Will have public involvement and economic development workgroup at 3/10 meeting.</li> </ul>	
1-13-15	EPA or Facilitator will contact NeighborWorks and discuss CAG with new leadership.	<p>EPA met with NeighborWorks during the week of 2/2.</p> <p>Meeting with EPA occurred.</p>	Completed 2/15
1-13-15	David Webb asked that EPA provide a list of addresses that received the EPA letter asking for consent to sample. Mr. Webb stated that this information would be very valuable to real estate agents in the area so that they can inform potential buyers of the Superfund status.	<p>EPA will only be providing the map of the area where residents received letters. The Agency is not comfortable sharing personally identifiable information of home addresses and feels as though the map should provide the needed information.</p> <p>Mr. Webb submitted a Freedom of Information Act request for this information.</p> <p>On February 17, 2015, EPA responded to this request. The EPA response stated: this information is exempt from mandatory disclosure pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(6) (personal privacy). As a general policy, EPA doesn't provide the addresses of private</p>	Completed 2/17/15

		citizens to others. EPA provided the CAG a map that delineates the study area for the initial phase of the remedial investigation.	
2-5-15	David Webb asked EPA to provide additional information regarding what information EPA will file with the County when properties are sampled and after cleanup.	EPA provide some information on this at the 2/10/15 meeting but generally stated that these decisions will be made after more information about the contamination has been gathered.	Ongoing.
3-10-15	Harric Vander Valk requested EPA send letters of consent for sampling to property owners who do not have structures on the land.	EPA will consider this request and report back at the next meeting.	In early April, EPA sent out approximately 200 letters to vacant property owners as suggested.
3-10-15	CAG requests Skeo Solutions to come discuss conducting a TANA in the community	Skeo Solutions attended the April 2015 CAG meeting.	Complete 4/14/15
4-14-15	<a href="#">Vitalization Economic</a> Workgroup and EPA seek solutions for potential lender liability issues and report back at May 2015 CAG meeting.	EPA is working on this issue with EPA headquarters and also in discussions with other appropriate federal agencies. <a href="#">As of 8/21/15, at least three ideas are being pursued:</a> <a href="#">1. Establishing a state fund to guarantee loans;</a> <a href="#">2. Prioritizing the order in which sites are sampled; and 3.</a> <a href="#">Identifying sites as a low, high, or medium risk for needing clean-up.</a>	Ongoing.
4-14-15	CAG provide input	EPA incorporated CAG	Complete on April 16

	to EPA regarding postcard to public by April 16.	input and sent out postcard.	
4-14-15	EPA will send out Community Involvement Plan to CAG and other members of the public for review and comment.	EPA sent out the CIP document to CAG and community members	Complete on 4-17-15
6-14-15	CAG would like additional urban examples of EPA's Revitalization Program.	EPA will continue to look for additional examples.	Ongoing
6-14-15	CAG requests that EPA consider moving up the visioning work so that it doesn't get out of synch with the Superfund Community Vitalization Project work.	Fran Costanzi might attend the August Vitalization meeting and discuss ways to coordinate activities. Also, EPA will invite 2 CAG members to attend the Canyon City visioning charrette this Fall. <a href="#">Update as of 8/21/15, Fran was not able to attend the Vitalization workgroup meeting in August. EPA invited two CAG members to attend the Canon City charrette. EPA reported that it is not likely to hold the Community Visioning process for the Colorado Smelter earlier than 2016, but will look into ways that the Vitalization Workgroup can conduct work that will flow directly into the visioning process.</a>	Ongoing

7-14-15	Vitalization Workgroup requests demographic data for community from EPA.	Chris Wardell sent a summary document and links to three key databases to Joe Kocman.	Completed July 16
7-14-15	EPA will share data from the pilot sampling project at the August CAG meeting, if the data has been successfully shared with homeowners first.	<u>Update as of 8/11/15: EPA was not able to share the pilot sampling project at the August meeting, but plans to share it at the September meeting.</u>	<u>Ongoing.</u>
7-14-15	EPA will share the draft Sampling Plan with the CAG Sampling Workgroup. During the CAG workgroup meeting, the group will also discuss what sampling data will be publicly available.	<u>EPA shared the Sampling Plan for the pilot project with the Sampling workgroup on August 12. EPA plans to share the full draft Sampling Plan in late August. The agency states that the full Sampling Plan will be finalized by the end of September.</u>	<u>Ongoing.</u>
7-14-15	Request by CAG to have a Sampling Demonstration Day.	<u>EPA investigated the potential of this and found it would require some legal and organizational work. The facilitator asked the CAG at the August meeting whether this has a high priority compared to other efforts and about 1/3 of the participants said yes. EPA will consider this information amongst their other priorities and report back to the CAG</u>	<u>Ongoing.</u>

		<a href="#">in September.</a>	
7-14-15	Request by CAG for EPA to determine if it is possible to use TASC funds to help educate the lending community about Superfund.		
<a href="#">8-11-15</a>	<a href="#">CAG requests Pueblo City-County Health Department develop a publicly available database to show whether the lead levels for children in the neighborhood are decreasing.</a>	<a href="#">Chad agrees to provide such a database.</a>	<a href="#">Ongoing.</a>
8-11-15	CAG and community members should send copies on the TASC document to Jasmin and Kelly by no later than August 25		

<p><a href="#">8-11-15</a></p>	<p><a href="#">Public Involvement Workgroup will work with EPA Public Involvement staff and PCCHD to review methods of outreach to the diverse community. This group will also work with the EPA to consider all public feedback on the TASC document. Notice for the next Work Group meeting will be shared with the full CAG and mailing list.</a></p>		
<p><a href="#">8-11-15</a></p>	<p><a href="#">The CAG inquired how the EPA repair sprinklers on land that is tested when the sprinklers are turned off for the winter and problems don't become apparent until Spring.</a></p>	<p><a href="#">Sabrina agreed to investigate this concern and report back at the next CAG meeting.</a></p>	
<p>8-11-15</p>	<p>The Vitalization Workgroup asked Terry Hart and John Cordova to follow-up with their elected colleagues regarding the idea of developing a state fund to guarantee loans in the area. The two will also talk about the idea of making this a broader urban renewal effort.</p>	<p>Terry and John agreed to report back to the Workgroup and the larger CAG.</p>	